Gramm-Leach-Bliley Five Years On

Richard J. Herring

Director, The Lauder Institute
Co-Director, The Wharton Financial Institutions Center
herring@wharton.upenn.edu

Nomura-Brookings Seminar on Financial Services in the Aftermath of The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Financial Modernization Act
October 5, 2004

The Financial Modernization Act Gramm-Leach-Bliley (GLBA)

- Repealed the Glass-Steagall Act and restrictions in Bank Holding Company Act
 - Banks may affiliate with securities firms and vice versa
 - Banks may affiliate with insurance companies and vice versa
- Made possible "one-stop financial shopping"

Affiliation through Financial Services Holding Company (FSHC)

- ✓ FSHC may have bank and nonbank subsidiaries
- ✓ Oversight by the Fed, but subject to lighter regulation than Bank Holding Companies ("Fed Lite")
 - Subsidiaries subject to regulation by existing functional regulators
 - No federal regulation of insurance
- ✓ OCC may continue to authorize direct subs to conduct securities business
 - In 5 years, perhaps merchant banking activities as well

Retained Separation of Banking & Commerce

- Restricted ownership of banks by commercial companies
- ✓ Forbids additional chartering of unitary thrifts to nonfinancial firms
 - Sets May 4, 1999 as deadline for applications to create new unitary thrifts
 - Bars sale of existing unitary thrifts to commercial companies
- ✓ Grandfathered firms not previously BHCs: may earn 15% of gross revenue from nonfinancial activities until 2009 sunset

FSHCs Include Only 2 Nonbanks

✓ Why?

- 1. Economies of scope less powerful than believed?
- 2. Firms learned how to negotiate loopholes to diversify as much as they wished without FSHC?
- 3. Although FSHC broadened powers for BHCs, it reduced them for nonbanks and so not attractive?
- 4. Concerns that Fed Lite cannot possibly be light enough?

Fed Lite

- ✓ Deference to functional regulators, but responsibility for safety and soundness of group
 - What does it mean?
 - What will it mean?
 - Regulatory responsibility implies pressure to act
- ✓ How can it be done?
 - A central challenge for the FSA model as well

Differences in Objectives and Scope of Regulation

- All emphasize consumer/investor protection
- ✓ Differ re: systemic risk
 - Traditional preoccupation of bank regulators
 - Emphasis on consolidated prudential supervision
 - Not a traditional concern of insurance regulators
 - Focus on solvency of individual legal entities, not group
 - Not a principal concern of the SEC
 - Focus on broker/dealer, not holding company
 - EU: same rules to banks and securities firms

Pervasive Differences in Accounting & Regulatory Concepts of Capital

- ✓ Net Worth: similarities more apparent than real
 - Mark to market accounting in securities firm
 - Mix of mark to market & book value in banks
 - Statutory accounting in insurance companies
- ✓ Differences in what counts as regulatory capital
 - Subordinated debt
 - Reserves

Financial Conglomerates Directive ...

- EU extends scope of consolidated supervision
 - If consolidated supervision not deemed "equivalent"
 - May impose substantial costs on US securities firms, insurance companies and diversified financial firms in Europe
 - Higher capital & risk control requirements or
 - Form a sub-holding company in Europe or
 - Submit entire US holding company to European consolidated supervision

The SEC Alternative to FSHC

- ✓ Introduction of Supervised Investment Bank Holding Company (SIBHC)
 - An option for investment banks not affiliated with bank or thrift, in GLBA amendment to SEC Act of 1934
 - Group-wide supervision by SEC
 - Prevent regulatory gaps in oversight
 - Donaldson: "SIBHC [may] satisfy some EU regulatory requirements and thereby avoid inconsistent or redundant obligations"
- ✓ Other nonbanks e.g. GE Capital, AIG may have found other means to comply with EU

Continuing GLBA Issues

- ✓ National Rules for the Provision of Financial Services
 - Privacy
 - Predatory Lending
 - A national insurance charter
- Activity restrictions on FSHCs
 - Lifting of sunset provision
 - Requirement that Fed and Treasury agree on "activities that are financial in nature"