A Comment on Professor Wing Thye WOO's paper "A Realistic Vision of Asian Economic Integration"

WU Qing DRC, China

wuqing@drc.gov.cn

Part II: 1997 AFC resulted in a government-driving integration

- reasons of the crisis
 - crony capitalism,
 - market booms & busts, and
 - the incompetence of IMF
- two lessons from the crisis
 - excessive price movements are not 'peso problems'.
 - the only form of reliable help is self-help.

Part III: economic union's size-structure

- "unlike the EU, AEU won't be a club of equals at any point of time, and unlike NAFTA, there is no stable dominant economic giant across time." So,
 - "the only stable configuration is the survival of individual East Asian currencies with limited coordination among them in normal time."
 - "Many present efforts to promote closer exchange rate cooperation will not succeed in the long-run."
 Such as AMU.

Part IV: an opportunity for AMF

- What Asia can do now
 - support the establishment of a working group on the reform of the IMF
 - enhance Asian swap facilities to AMF
- Five reasons for US and Western Europe to support AMF suggestion

Part V: the leadership structure of AMF

- "the leadership structure of AMF should not be locked in the balance of economic power that existed at the time of its founding."
- a self-updating leadership structure would be a great innovation and contribution to Asia and the world.

I agree on final conclusions but the reasoning.

Q1: why not a time for AMU but AMF?

AMU

 An obstacle: instability of economic size-structure

- As a result: present efforts will not succeed
- Can't be self-updating...?
 - weights (if it is a basket), or
 - leadership structure (if it is a single currency)

AMF

Same obstacle

Won't succeed?

 As a suggestion: a selfupdating leadership structure

Why not a time for AMU? (continue)

- Other scholars' approaches:
 - Not enough market demand: ...Otherwise, financial institutions such as Nomura may provide it without bothering any central banks or professor Eiji Ogawa.
 - Coordination failure, if exists, cannot be lift by an AMU.
 - Too high an opportunity cost: no Asia country needs to endure any unfavorable currency basket because it can always provide itself with an optimum one at relatively low cost.

Q2: is Japan so generous to provide free-meal to its neighboring countries?

- Do we need to thank Japan because :
 - "The only country that was willing to commit immediate large-scale financial assistance to the crashing Asian economies was the neighboring country of Japan, which proposed the Asian Monetary Fund"?
- However, probably we should thank anyone else but Japan.

Why Japan became generous?

 Japan didn't do it when it had the capacity, but in an effort to do it when it is less capable.

• Why?

- An explanation: there wasn't any competitor in the past, but now, there seems one, if not is one.
- If so, neighboring countries should give thanks to the competitor rather than to Japan for Japan's some sort of kindness.

A benefit of AMF (a sixth reason)

- A potential competition between AMF and IMF
 - That's why IMF do not welcome AMF.
- Such a competition is beneficial to Asia. And,
- The benefits will spill out with
 - A calm Asian financial market, and
 - An example to other regions and the world.

Q3: Could the five reasons change the mind of US and Western Europe?

- The answer seems uncertain.
 - (further discussions likely to be a long process. So I'd better highlight but wrap them up here.)

Q4: how confident we are to remove all doubt with crony capitalism?

- How about if we make a misjudgment?
 - "Red Cliff"(赤壁) is on show in Tokyo at this moment.
- Could such an old tale, as well as the new tale of IMF, be a help to integrate Asian countries together in an satisfactory way?

• Thank you every one!